arXiv:1401.7503v1 [hep-ph] 29 Jan 2014

Towards computing the lepton and quark mass spectra and their consequences

Jiří Hošek*
Department of Theoretical Physics, Nuclear Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 25068 Řež (Prague), Czech Republic

We demonstrate that the chiral gauge flavor $SU(3)_f$ dynamics spontaneously generates the chiral symmetry breaking fermion self energies $\Sigma(p^2)$ resulting in wide and wild spectra of lepton and quark masses. The Goldstone theorem then necessarily implies: (1) Gauge bosons of gauged chiral symmetries absorb the underlying *'would-be'* Nambu-Goldstone (NG) bosons and become massive. Masses are determined by $\Sigma(p^2)$s of those fermions to which they couple, and by the corresponding gauge coupling constants. (2) Charges of anomalous Abelian chiral fermion currents create composite mixed-parity *pseudo* NG bosons with calculable couplings to fermions and gauge bosons: (i) the Higgs-looking h with mass due to the $SU(3)_f$ instanton; (ii) the Weinberg-Wilczek axion a with mass due to the QCD instanton; (iii) the Anselm-Uraltsev arion b with mass due to the electroweak instanton. (3) There are the *true* composite NG bosons, the majorons.

PACS numbers: 11.15.Ex, 12.15.Ff, 12.60.Fr

Introduction. Standard model (SM) Higgs field assisted generation of lepton and quark masses does not provide much understanding of their origin. With its 'twenty-some' independently renormalized and vastly different Yukawa couplings it rather represents a subtle and successful phenomenology [1]. Some even like to argue that the masses of quanta of lepton and quark fields are the 'environmental' parameters which can acquire any value.

This is in sharp contrast with understanding the spectra of other quantum systems. Oscillators, nuclei, atoms and molecules have their spectra uniquely given by solutions of the Schrödinger equation with scale provided by the corresponding Hamiltonian. In the same vein, the hadron masses in the paradise (chiral) limit [2] are the calculable multiples of the QCD scale $\Lambda_{QCD} \sim 200$MeV. That the laws of QCD at low momenta are known at present only to computers is another issue.

In this Letter we suggest the asymptotically free dynamics which arguably provides the calculable spectra of lepton and quark masses. It is defined by properly gauging the flavor index in SM [4]:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{a\mu\nu}F_a^{\mu\nu} + \bar{q}_L(3)i\not{D}q_L(3) + \bar{u}_R(3)i\not{D}u_R(3) + \bar{d}_R(\bar{3})i\not{D}d_R(\bar{3}) + \bar{l}_L(\bar{3})i\not{D}l_L(\bar{3}) + \bar{e}_R(3)i\not{D}e_R(3) + \bar{\nu}^s_R(3)i\not{D}\nu^s_R(3)$$

We then demonstrate that by virtue of the Goldstone theorem the spontaneously generated fermion masses yield the rich spectrum of NG type excitations with calculable properties. Discussion of other alternatives to the Higgs mechanism of generating the fermion masses can be found e.g. in [3].

There is one free parameter in $\mathcal{L}$. It is the dimensionless gauge coupling constant h hidden in the covariant derivative D and in the gauge field tensor F. By dimensional transmutation h turns into theoretically arbitrary scale Λ below which the gauge flavor dynamics is strongly coupled. Because it is not vector-like like QCD (the chiral fermion fields transform both as triplets 3 and antitriplets $\bar{3}$ of $SU(3)_f$), we assume $SU(3)_f$ is not confining. In the following we will discuss how it behaves in the infrared [5]. Dealing with the SM chiral quark and lepton fields the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ contains also three $SU(3)_f$ triplets $s = 1, 2, 3$ of the electroweak singlet (sterile) right-handed neutrino fields $\nu^s_R(3)$. Their existence is enforced by gauge anomaly freedom [6]. Electroweak interactions and QCD can be introduced at any moment by gauging in $\mathcal{L}$ the corresponding fermion indices and adding the gauge field terms.

Fermion mass generation. Fermion mass is a bridge between the left- and right-handed fermion field. Because the octet of flavor gluons C^μ_a interacts both with the left- and right-handed lepton and quark fields, its exchanges at low momenta can build up spontaneously the bridges between the left-handed and right-handed fermion fields i.e., the fermion masses [7].

Fermion masses are given once the chirality-changing fermion proper self-energies $\Sigma(p^2)$ in the full fermion propagator [8] $S(p)^{-1} = \not{p} - \hat{\Sigma}(p^2)$ are found as UV finite solutions of the Schwinger-Dyson (SD) homogeneous nonlinear integral equation [9]

$$\hat{\Sigma}(p) = 3\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{\bar{h}^2_{ab}((p-k)^2)}{(p-k)^2}T_a(R)\{\Sigma(k)[k^2+\Sigma^+(k)\Sigma(k)]^{-1}P_R + \Sigma^+(k)[k^2+\Sigma(k)\Sigma^+(k)]^{-1}P_L\}T_b(L) \qquad (1)$$

Here $\hat{\Sigma} = \Sigma P_L + \Sigma^+ P_R$, where $P_{L,R} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp \gamma_5)$ are the chiral projectors. The fermion mass spectrum is then given by the poles of $S(p)$ i.e., by solving the equation

$$\det[\mathrm{p}^2 - \Sigma(\mathrm{p}^2)\Sigma^+(\mathrm{p}^2)] = 0 \tag{2}$$

For charged leptons, u-quarks and d-quarks $\Sigma(p^2)$ are complex 3×3 matrices. The case of neutrinos is more involved and is discussed separately below. Since $\bar{h}^2_{ab}(q^2)$ at the relevant low momenta is not known, finding the fermion spectrum is a formidable task. In order to proceed we argue as follows:

In the perturbative weak coupling high-momentum region from Λ to ∞ which in technical sense guarantees the UV finiteness of $\Sigma(p^2)$ [9] we set $\bar{h}^2_{ab}(q^2)$ to zero. *The resulting model is thus not asymptotically, but strictly free above* Λ. Λ of course should not be confused with a cut-off, simply because there is nothing we are forced to cut off.

Without loss of generality we fix in the resulting SD equation the external euclidean momentum as $p = (p, \vec{0})$, integrate over angles and get

$$\Sigma(p) = \int_0^\Lambda k^3 dk K_{ab}(p,k) T_a(R) \Sigma(k) [k^2 + \Sigma^+\Sigma]^{-1} T_b(L) \tag{3}$$

where the kernel

$$K_{ab}(p,k) \equiv \frac{3}{4\pi^3} \int_0^\pi \frac{\bar{h}^2_{ab}(p^2 + k^2 - 2pk\cos\theta)}{p^2 + k^2 - 2pk\cos\theta} \sin^2\theta d\theta \tag{4}$$

is separately symmetric in momenta and in the flavor octet indices.

We suggest to work in separable approximation for the kernel $K_{ab}(p,k)$, and analyze explicitly the disarmingly simple Ansatz

$$K_{ab}(p,k) = \frac{3}{4\pi^2} \frac{g_{ab}}{pk} \tag{5}$$

Separable approximation with a given kernel replaces our ignorance of knowing the low-momentum $\bar{h}^2_{ab}(q^2)$ *and* of the low-momentum form of the flavor gluon propagators. Ultimately we should deal with a system of Schwinger-Dyson equations for several Green functions. Here g_{ab} is a real symmetric dimensionless matrix of the effective low-momentum coupling constants. They reflect the complete breakdown of $SU(3)_f$ and are in principle calculable. They are analogous to the effective low-momentum couplings [10] of chiral perturbation theory of the confining QCD.

Separable approximation has several further advantages. 1. The nonlinearity of the integral equation is preserved. We expect that the nonanalyticity of Σ upon the couplings is crucial for generating the huge fermion mass ratios. 2. In separable approximation the homogeneous nonlinear integral equation (3) is immediately formally solved:

$$\Sigma(p) = \frac{\Lambda^2}{p} T_a(R) \Gamma_{ab} T_b(L) \equiv \frac{\Lambda^2}{p} \sigma \tag{6}$$

where the numerical matrix Γ has to fulfil the nonlinear self-consistency condition (gap equation)

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{ab} &= g_{ab} \frac{3}{16\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx (T(R)\Gamma T(L)) \\ &\quad [x + (T(R)\Gamma T(L))^+ (T(R)\Gamma T(L))]^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

3. Separable approximation preserves physics contained in Σ : It describes both the fermion masses for a given sort of fermions, and the fermion mixing, including the CP-violating phases. As in the Standard model the generally complex 3×3 matrix σ can be put into a positive-definite real diagonal matrix γ by a constant bi-unitary transformation:

$$\sigma = U^+ \gamma V \tag{8}$$

The gap equation becomes

$$\gamma = U T_a(R) U^+ g_{ab} I(\gamma) V T_b(L) V^+ \tag{9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I(\gamma) &= \frac{3}{16\pi^2} \gamma \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x + \gamma^2} = \frac{3}{16\pi^2} \gamma \ln \frac{1 + \gamma^2}{\gamma^2} \\ &\approx -\frac{3}{16\pi^2} \gamma \ln \gamma^2 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

provided $\gamma^2 \ll 1$. The diagonal entries of the equation (9) determine the fermion masses, the nondiagonal entries provide relations for the mixing angles and CP-violating phases.

To account for the possibility of Majorana masses of neutrinos we introduce the left-handed neutrino field with twelve entries as

$$n_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ (\nu_R)^{\mathcal{C}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

and its right-handed partner $(n_L)^{\mathcal{C}} = n_R$. The general neutrino chirality-changing proper self-energy Σ_n to be dynamically generated is a 12×12 matrix, symmetric by Pauli principle:

$$\Sigma_n = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_L & \Sigma_D \\ \Sigma_D^T & \Sigma_R \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

Here Σ_L is a 3×3 Majorana self-energy of the active left-handed neutrino fields $\nu_L(\bar{3})$; Σ_R is a 9×9 Majorana self-energy of the sterile left-handed neutrino fields $(\nu_R)^{\mathcal{C}}(\bar{3})$, while Σ_D is the corresponding 3×9 Dirac neutrino self-energy.

Σ_n is also determined by solving the SD equation. In the neutrino case $\mathcal{T}_a(n_{R,L})$ are the 12×12 block-diagonal matrices having on the diagonal four identical 3×3 blocks of the charged lepton type: $\mathcal{T}_a(n_L) = \mathrm{diag}(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^*_{\mathrm{a}}, ...)$,$\mathcal{T}_a(n_R) = \mathrm{diag}(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}, ...)$.

In separable approximation (5) the neutrino self-energy $\Sigma_n(p)$ acquires the form

$$\Sigma_n(p) = \frac{\Lambda^2}{p}\mathcal{T}_a(R)\Gamma_{ab}\mathcal{T}_b(L) \equiv \frac{\Lambda^2}{p}\sigma_n \qquad (13)$$

where the complex numerical symmetric 12×12 matrix σ_n can be put into a real diagonal form γ_n with non-negative entries by one unitary 12×12 matrix V: $\sigma_n = V^T\gamma_n V$. The corresponding gap equation which determines both γ_n and V is

$$\gamma_n = V^*\mathcal{T}_a(R)V^T g_{ab} I(\gamma_n) V\mathcal{T}_b(L)V^+ \qquad (14)$$

Hand-made world of quarks and leptons. Here we illustrate that the low-momentum gauge flavor dynamics in the approximation of separable kernel (5) has the potential of generating the wide and wild spectrum of quark and lepton masses. Systematic analysis of fermion mass spectrum and of mixing parameters does not seem simple, and requires extra work.

1. For the up-type quarks $T_a(R) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_a$ and $T_a(L) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_a$. We assume the u-quarks *unmixed* i.e., the matrix $\sigma_u = diag(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3)$, i.e., $U_u = V_u = 1$ in (9). We assume further that in the hand-made world only g_{33}, g_{38}, g_{88} are different from zero. The diagonal matrix gap equation is easily solved: $\gamma_i = -\frac{1}{16\pi}\alpha_i^u\gamma_i \ln\gamma_i^2$. Here $\alpha_1^u = \frac{3}{4\pi}(g_{33}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}g_{38}+\frac{1}{3}g_{88})$, $\alpha_2^u = \frac{3}{4\pi}(g_{33}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}g_{38}+\frac{1}{3}g_{88})$ and $\alpha_3^u = \frac{3}{4\pi}\frac{4}{3}g_{88}$.

For fermions $i = 1,2,3$ the fermion mass m_i is defined in terms of $\Sigma_i(p) = (\Lambda^2/p)\gamma_i$ as $m_i = \Sigma(p^2 = m_i^2) = \Lambda\gamma_i^{1/2}$. Consequently, for the $f = u$-type quark masses m_i^u we arrive at an appealing mass formula

$$m_i^f = \Lambda\ \exp(-4\pi/\alpha_{\rm i}^{\rm f}) \qquad (15)$$

So far Λ is arbitrary. As we will see later the most stringent phenomenological constraint on its numerical value comes from the invisibility of the axion which the model predicts. From this datum we fix $\Lambda \sim 10^9$GeV.

2. For the down-type quarks $T_a(R) = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_a^*$, $T_a(L) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_a$. For unmixed down-type quarks with the coupling matrix g_{ab} already fixed above the formula for $m_i^d = \Lambda\ \exp(+4\pi/\alpha_{\rm i}^{\rm d})$ with $\alpha_i^d = \alpha_i^u$ would describe d-quarks with huge masses. In general, because 3 and 3^* are the inequivalent representations of $SU(3)_f$, there is no such a unitary matrix U in (9) which would turn all eight generators $-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_a^*$ into $\frac{1}{2}\lambda_a$. It is, however, conceivable that the fermion mixing helps. Indeed, in the following we show that with simple mixing matrices U_d and V_d we arrive at a sensible mass formula for m_i^d.

Consider in the gap equation (9) for the d-quark masses the 3×3 unitary matrices U, V

$$U_d = i\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&0&1\\0&1&0\end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad V_d = i\begin{pmatrix}0&1&0\\1&0&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix} \qquad (16)$$

We arrive at the same exponential mass formula (15) for $f = d$ with $\alpha_1^d = \frac{3}{4\pi}(g_{33}-\frac{1}{3}g_{88})$, $\alpha_2^d = \frac{3}{4\pi}(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}g_{38}+\frac{2}{3}g_{88})$ and $\alpha_3^d = \frac{3}{4\pi}(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}g_{38}+\frac{2}{3}g_{88})$.

3. For the charged leptons (e,μ,τ) $T_a(R) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_a$, $T_a(L) = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_a^*$. Not surprisingly, if we rotate the L,R generators by the same unitary matrices as in the case of the d-quarks, we arrive for the charged leptons at the same mass formulas: $m_i^l = \Lambda\ \exp(-4\pi/\alpha_{\rm i}^{\rm l})$ with $\alpha_i^l = \alpha_i^d$.

4. For the neutrinos the space of $\mathcal{T}_a(n_{R,L})$ is 12×12, only one unitary matrix V is at hand and, apparently, a 'computer-made' approach is necessary. In this exploratory stage we assume that the suggested mechanism does generate three light active neutrinos, and at least one heavy neutrino with mass $M_R \sim 10^9$GeV.

For having sensible mass formulas we need $g_{33} > \frac{1}{3}g_{88} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}g_{38} > 0$. The numerical values of the low-momentum effective couplings come out reasonable. With $\Lambda = 10^9$GeV and a quark with mass $m^q = 10^2$GeV the corresponding $\alpha^q = 4\pi/7\ln 10$ whereas for the charged lepton with mass lighter by five orders of magnitude, $m^l = 1$MeV $\alpha^l = 4\pi/12\ln 10$. Using the same formula also for neutrinos, another six orders of magnitude for $m^\nu = 1$eV turns into $\alpha^\nu = 4\pi/18\ln 10$. It is natural to associate the ratios $\alpha^l/\alpha^q = 7/12$ and $\alpha^\nu/\alpha^l = 2/3$ with mixing angles entering the general formulas (9) and (14).

Fate of gauged chiral symmetries. These are the $SU(2)_L\times U(1)_Y$ in which the gauge bosons W, Z, A couple to electroweakly interacting fermions, and the $SU(3)_f$ gauge flavor dynamics in which the flavor gluons C couple to electroweakly interacting fermions *and* to sterile right-handed neutrinos. Dynamically generated fermion self-energies $\Sigma(p^2)$ break spontaneously the symmetry $SU(2)_L\times U(1)_Y$ down to unbroken $U(1)_{em}$, and the symmetry $SU(3)_f$ completely. As a consequence the composite *non-Abelian* 'would-be' NG bosons become the longitudinal polarization states of W, Z and of all eight C bosons. General arguments of the renown BEH mechanism [11] apply: First, the composite 'would-be' NG bosons are explicitly visualized as massless poles in Ward-Takahashi (WT) identities for the corresponding vertex functions [12] with residues proportional to $\Sigma(p^2)s$. In reality, there are always several fermions which incoherently contribute, and there is a mixing [13]. For simplicity it is neglected here. In the electroweak case neglecting all fermion masses against the top quark mass $m_{\rm top}$ is a very good approximation. In the case of $SU(3)_f$ we consider only the heaviest right-handed Majorana mass M_R. Second, the gauge boson mass squared, defined according to Schwinger [14] as a residue at the massless pole of the gauge boson polarization tensor is computed in terms of $\Sigma(p^2)$ by the Pagels-Stokar formula [15] used in the present context in technicolor [16]

$$F^2 = 8N\int\frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{\Sigma^2(p^2)-\frac{1}{4}p^2(\Sigma^2(p^2))'}{(p^2+\Sigma^2)^2} \qquad (17)$$

where N is a loop factor. Having the explicit form of $\Sigma_f(p^2) = (\Lambda^2/p)\gamma_f \equiv m_f^2/p$ we have also the explicit form of $F^2 = \frac{5}{16\pi}Nm_f^2$.

Neglecting the fermion mixing we conclude that [13]

$$\begin{aligned} m_W^2 &\simeq \tfrac{1}{4}g^2\tfrac{5}{16\pi}Nm_{\rm top}^2 \\ m_Z^2 &\simeq \tfrac{1}{4}(g^2+g'^2)\tfrac{5}{16\pi}Nm_{\rm top}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $N = n_c \times n_f \times 2 = 3\times 3\times 2 = 18$ the numerical agreement of $F \sim 231.5$GeV with the SM value $v = 246$GeV is suspiciously good. Canonical SM tree-level relation $m_W^2/m_Z^2\cos^2\theta_{\rm W} = 1$ would be exact for $m_{\rm bottom} = m_{\rm top}$ [13].

Analogously, for strongly coupled flavor gluons with effective couplings to fermions of order one we estimate the masses of color gluons: $m_C^2 \simeq M_R^2$. Because $M_R \sim \Lambda = 10^9$GeV the flavor-changing flavor gluons C have no observable effects in SM FCNC processes characterized by a typical effective strength $G_F^2 m_{\rm top}^2$.

Fate of global chiral symmetries. First we observe that the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ with three triplets ($s = 1, 2, 3$) of sterile ν_R^s obeys the global $U(3)_s = U(1)_s \times SU(3)_s$ *sterility* symmetry. If all sterile neutrinos acquire dynamically the Majorana masses there must be an octet of composite massless NG majorons [17]. Having by virtue of the WT identity the direct (derivative) couplings only to sterile neutrinos for particle physics the majorons should be harmless.

It remains to discuss six Abelian symmetries generated by charges of six chiral fermion currents $j_i^\mu, i = q_L, u_R, d_R, l_L, e_R, \nu_R$, taking into account *the quantum effects of axial anomalies*. Dealing with four gauge forces we have [18]

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu j_{q_L}^\mu &= \partial_\mu(\bar q_L\gamma^\mu q_L) = -A_Y - 9A_W - 6A_G - 6A_F \\ \partial_\mu j_{u_R}^\mu &= \partial_\mu(\bar u_R\gamma^\mu u_R) = 8A_Y + 3A_G + 3A_F \\ \partial_\mu j_{d_R}^\mu &= \partial_\mu(\bar d_R\gamma^\mu d_R) = 2A_Y + 3A_G + 3A_F \\ \partial_\mu j_{l_L}^\mu &= \partial_\mu(\bar l_L\gamma^\mu l_L) = -3A_Y - 3A_W - 2A_F \\ \partial_\mu j_{e_R}^\mu &= \partial_\mu(\bar e_R\gamma^\mu e_R) = 6A_Y + A_F \\ \partial_\mu j_{\nu_R}^\mu &= \partial_\mu(\bar\nu_R\gamma^\mu \nu_R) = 3A_F \end{aligned}$$

where $A_X = \frac{g_X 2}{32\pi^2}F_X\tilde F_X$, and X abbreviates the gauge forces $U(1)_Y$, $SU(2)_L$, $SU(3)_c$ and $SU(3)_f$, respectively.

There are two anomaly-free currents parameterized by two real parameters e, f: $j_{e,f}^\mu = -\frac{1}{6}(e+3f)j_{q_L}^\mu + \frac{1}{3}(-2e+3f)j_{u_R}^\mu + \frac{1}{3}(e-6f)j_{d_R}^\mu + \frac{1}{2}(e+3f)j_{l_L}^\mu + ej_{e_R}^\mu + fj_{\nu_R}^\mu$.

For $f = 0$, $e = -2$ we get the anomaly free current of weak hypercharge Y, j_Y^μ. Because the electric charge Q is $Q = I_3 + \frac{1}{2}Y$, the hypercharge current is hidden in the vectorial electromagnetic current coupled to the massless photon field A, and does not create any 'would-be' NG boson.

For definiteness we fix the other anomaly free current $j_{Y'}^\mu$ by $f \neq 0, e = 0$:

$$\tfrac{1}{f}j_{Y'}^\mu = -\tfrac{1}{2}j_{q_L}^\mu + j_{u_R}^\mu - 2j_{d_R}^\mu + \tfrac{3}{2}j_{l_L}^\mu + j_{\nu_R}^\mu$$

It creates the true fermion-antifermion massless composite NG boson. Because of its ν_R component its couplings with fermions are tiny. To prevent any conflict with data we better gauge the corresponding Abelian symmetry. The NG boson becomes 'would-be' and the new Z' heavy, with mass mass $m_{Z'} \sim g''M_R$ where g'' is a new gauge coupling constant.

One of four anomalous currents is the *vectorial* baryon current $j_B^\mu = \frac{1}{3}(j_{q_L}^\mu + j_{u_R}^\mu + j_{d_R}^\mu)$ which does not create any pseudo NG boson.

Pseudo NG bosons. We are left with three anomalous Abelian chiral currents which create three pseudo NG bosons with masses due non-Abelian anomalies by instantons [19]. We identify them with the Higgs-looking resonance h, the Weinberg-Wilczek axion a [20], and the Anselm-Uraltsev arion b [21], respectively. The Abelian anomaly A_Y is expected not to play any role in giving masses to the pseudo NG bosons. The Abelian gauge field B^μ is topologically trivial, and there is no instanton associated with it.

There is a freedom in fixing the coefficients in $j_h^\mu = \sum_i h_i j_i^\mu$, $j_a^\mu = \sum_i a_i j_i^\mu$, and $j_b^\mu = \sum_i b_i j_i^\mu$, $i = q_L, u_R, d_R, l_L, e_R, \nu_R$. It can be employed for properly fixing the data. For definiteness we demand: 1. The charges of four currents $j_{Y'}^\mu, j_h^\mu, j_a^\mu, j_b^\mu$ creating the 'would-be' and pseudo NG type excitations should be orthogonal. 2. Higgs does not interact with gluons, hence, $\partial_\mu j_h^\mu$ does not contain A_G. 3. The requirement $h_{\nu_R} = 0$ implies that the interactions of h with fermions are not suppressed by M_R. 4. The axion a is invisible, hence $a_{\nu_R} \neq 0$. 5. The axion interacts only strongly. Hence, $\partial_\mu j_a^\mu$ does not contain A_F, A_W, A_Y. 6. The arion interacts only electroweakly. Hence, $\partial_\mu j_b^\mu$ does not contain A_F and A_G. 7. To get the currents completely fixed we set, without any obviously good reason, $a_{e_R} = b_{q_L} = 0$.

The result is

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{h}j_h^\mu &= j_{q_L}^\mu + \tfrac{8}{5}j_{u_R}^\mu + \tfrac{2}{5}j_{d_R}^\mu - \tfrac{1}{5}j_{l_L}^\mu - \tfrac{62}{145}j_{e_R}^\mu \\ \tfrac{1}{a}j_a^\mu &= j_{q_L}^\mu - \tfrac{7}{12}j_{u_R}^\mu - \tfrac{5}{3}j_{d_R}^\mu - 3j_{l_L}^\mu + \tfrac{9}{4}j_{\nu_R}^\mu \\ \tfrac{1}{b}j_b^\mu &= j_{u_R}^\mu - j_{d_R}^\mu - \tfrac{8}{9}j_{l_L}^\mu + \tfrac{29}{9}j_{e_R}^\mu - \tfrac{5}{3}j_{\nu_R}^\mu \end{aligned}$$

The normalization factors f, h, a, b are the arbitrary real numbers.

Unique form of the pseudo NG currents fixes the effective interactions of the pseudo NG bosons. 1. The WT identities associated with the pseudo NG currents determine the effective Yukawa couplings of the pseudo NG bosons with fermions. Clearly, although proportional to the fermion masses they are not universal as in the Standard model. Moreover, in separable approximation they are pseudoscalar. 2. The divergences of the pseudo NG currents fix the effective interactions of the pseudo NG bosons h, a, b with the respective gauge fields. There is no way how h could interact with WW and ZZ by the renormalizable SM interactions.

The effective interactions of the pseudo NG bosons with fermions and non-Abelian gauge bosons give rise to their masses [20]. For the axion a massive due to the QCD instanton we use the formula [20] $m_a \sim \Lambda^2_{QCD}/\Lambda \sim 10^{-2}$eV from which we fix the scale $\Lambda \sim 10^9$GeV. For the electroweak axion b (arion) massive due to the screened electroweak instanton we use the formula [22]

$$m_b^2 = C m_W^2 (8\pi^2/g_W^2)^4 \exp(-8\pi^2/g_W^2) \qquad (18)$$

with $C < 1$. It results in $m_b = 3.10^{-28}\mathrm{eVC}^{1/2}$. For the m_h we use the formula (18) with m_W replaced by $m_C \sim 10^9$GeV, and g_W replaced by a bigger h. A wishful estimate is then $m_h \sim 10^2$GeV.

Conclusion. There are two main results of this Letter. First, we have suggested the explicit framework for computing the mass spectra of leptons and quarks and their mixing parameters within the ultraviolet-complete chiral non-Abelian $SU(3)_f$ gauge flavor dynamics. Systematic analysis remains to be done. Second, once the the chirality-changing fermion proper self-energies $\Sigma(p^2)$ are spontaneously generated, the Goldstone theorem provides definite predictions. Their detailed analysis also requires further work.

1. There must be a composite pseudo NG boson h with mass due to the anomaly of gauge flavor dynamics. We boldly suggest to identify it with the 126 GeV resonance recently discovered by the LHC at CERN [23]. Such a particle is not, however, the standard SM Higgs. First, our h is composite. The compositeness scale, though, is very large. Second, the mass m_h has nothing to do with the Fermi scale. Third, in accord with a theorem [24] h is definitely not a pure CP-even scalar like the SM Higgs. Fourth, the Yukawa couplings of h with fermions are in a specific way proportional to the fermion masses. Fifth, the couplings of h to WW and ZZ are not of the SM type. The dimension-5 couplings are fixed.

2. There must be a light composite pseudo NG boson which we identify with the Weinberg-Wilczek QCD axion. Its invisibility fixes the scale of $SU(3)_f$, $\Lambda \sim 10^9$GeV.

3. There must be an ultra-light composite electroweak axion b, and an octet of massless composite majorons m_a. The very light or massless, very weakly interacting particles, collectively abbreviated as WISPs (weakly interacting slim particles) are subject to intense experimental searches [25].

4. The gauge bosons of gauged chiral symmetries acquire masses proportional to the sum of masses of fermions to which they couple. This in particular implies that there is no genuine electroweak Fermi scale. The masses m_W and m_Z are the unique consequence of a sum rule essentially saturated by the top quark mass computed in gauge flavor dynamics.

For theoretical consistency there must be, besides three SM active ν_L, nine sterile ν_R. While computing the mass spectra of quarks and charged leptons is at present "merely" a post-diction (yet to be made), predicting the spectrum of neutrino masses is a crystalline challenge.

Outlook. The new dynamics, becoming strong at a high energy scale Λ should, besides generating masses of its elementary excitations i.e. leptons, quarks and flavor gluons, generate also entirely new collective excitations. Those guaranteed by the existence theorem of Goldstone are discussed above. The very heavy composites might also be useful. Why, first of all, the origin of dark matter should not be the same as of the shining one ? The analogs of baryons, fermionic *sterile* neutrino composites $\epsilon^{abc}\nu_{aR}\nu_{bR}\nu_{cR}$ seem to be natural candidates for the 'co-generated' dark matter [26].

I am grateful to Petr Beneš, Tomáš Brauner and Adam Smetana for valuable discussions and joyful collaboration.

* Electronic address: hosek@ujf.cas.cz

[1] T. D. Lee, *Particle Physics and Introduction to Field Theory*, Harwood Academic Publishers,1981,p.825.
[2] H. Leutwyler, arXiv: 1211.6777.
[3] For a review see, e.g. R. S. Chivukula, M. Narain and J. Womersley, Phys. Lett.**B667**:1,2008.
[4] J. Hošek, arXiv:0909.0629.
[5] T. Appelquist, R. Schrock, arXiv: 1310.6076.
[6] A. Smetana, JHEP 1304(2013)139.
[7] Y.Nambu and G.Jona-Lasinio,Phys.Rev.**122**,345(1961).
[8] P. Beneš, Phys.Rev.**D81**, 065029(2010).
[9] H. Pagels, Phys.Rev.**D21**,2336(1980).
[10] H. Leutwyler, Ann.Phys.**235**,165(1994).
[11] F. Englert and R. Brout, Phys. Rev. Lett.**13**,321(1964); P. W. Higgs, Phys. Rev. Lett.**13**,508(1964); G. S. Guralnik, C. R. Hagen, and T. W. B. Kibble, Phys. Rev. Lett **13**,585(1964).
[12] R. Jackiw and K. Johnson, Phys. Rev. **D8**, 2386(1973); P. Beneš, T. Brauner and J. Hošek, Phys. Rev. **D75**(2007)056003.
[13] J. Hošek, Phys. Rev. **D36**, 2093(1987).
[14] J. Schwinger,Phys. Rev **125**, 397(1962).
[15] H. Pagels and S. Stokar, Phys. Rev. **D20**, 2947(1979).
[16] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **D19**, 1277(1979); L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. **D20**, 2619(1979).
[17] Y. Chikashige, R. N. Mohapatra and R. D. Peccei, Phys. Rev. Lett.**45**, 1926(1981).
[18] G. t'Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett.**37**, 8(1976).
[19] G. t'Hooft, Phys. Rev. D14, 3432(1976).
[20] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 223(1978); F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 279(1978).
[21] A. A. Anselm and N. G. Uraltsev, Phys. Lett.114B, 39(1982).
[22] A. A. Anselm, Phys. Lett.260B,39(1991).
[23] G. Aad et al., Phys. Lett. B 716, 1 (2012); S. Chatrchyan et al., Phys. Lett. B 716, 30 (2012).
[24] C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B234**, 173(1984).
[25] For a review, see e.g. A. Ringwald, arXiv: 1210.5081.
[26] S. M. Barr and Heng-Yu Chen, arXiv: 1309.0020 and references therein.